



Cytogenetic instability and therapeutic pressure in Fixed vs Continuous regimens for Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia after first line treatment: Preliminary Data from an Italian Multicenter Experience

Andrea Serafin<sup>1</sup>, Francesco Angotzi<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Cellini<sup>1</sup>, Arianna Bevilacqua<sup>1</sup>, Nicolo' Danesin<sup>1</sup>, Annalisa Martines<sup>2</sup>, Laura Bonaldi<sup>2</sup>, Riccardo Moia<sup>3</sup>, Gianluca Gaidano<sup>3</sup>, Alessandro Noto<sup>4</sup>, Sara Pepe<sup>5</sup>, Francesca Cibien<sup>6</sup>, Maria Ilaria Del Principe<sup>7</sup>, Gianmatteo Rigolin<sup>8</sup>, Antonio Cuneo<sup>8</sup>, Anna Maria Frustaci<sup>4</sup>, Alessandra Tedeschi<sup>4</sup>, Francesca Romana Mauro<sup>5</sup>, Lydia Scarfò<sup>9,10</sup>, Paolo Ghia<sup>9,10</sup>, Livio Trentin<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Visentin<sup>1</sup>

1 Hematology Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy; 2 Immunology and Molecular Oncology Unit, Veneto Institute of Oncology IOV-IRCSS, Padova, Italy; 3 Division of Hematology, Department of Translational Medicine, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy; 4 Department of Hematology, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy; 5 Hematology, Department of Translational and Precision Medicine, Sapienza University, Roma, Italy; 6 Hematology Unit, Ca' Foncello Hospital, Treviso, Italy; 7 Hematology, Department of Biomedicine and Prevention Tor Vergata, University of Rome, Roma, Italy; 8 Hematology Section, Department of Medical Sciences, Azienda Ospedaliera-Universitaria, Arcispedale S. Anna, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy; 9 Strategic Research Program on CLL, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milano, Italy.

## **OBJECTIVE**

To compare the frequency and dynamics of cytogenetic evolution in treatment naïve patients receiving continuous btki therapy versus those receiving fixed-duration ven-based regimens.

### CONCLUSIONS

A greater cytogenetic instability was observed in patients receiving continuous treatment, while fixed-duration regimens appeared less frequently associated with such changes. Prolonged therapeutic exposure may contribute to clonal dynamics and genomic evolution, particularly in patients with pre-existing genetic instability.

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

Andrea Serafin, MD, andrea.serafin@aopd.veneto.it Andrea Visentin, MD PhD, andrea.visentin@unipd.it



Università degli Studi di Padova

# INTRODUCTION

Novel targeted therapies have transformed the treatment landscape of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), with Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors (BTKi) and BCL2 inhibitors showing remarkable efficacy. However, their long-term impact on genomic evolution - particularly regarding cytogenetic complexity and clonal dynamics - remains poorly understood. Kittai et al. retrospectively analyzed patients with CLL treated with ibrutinib and found that cytogenetic evolution independently predicted poor outcomes at disease progression (PD) [1].

Among 75 patients, 56% showed an increase in chromosomal abnormalities, and 80% displayed karyotypic evolution at PD. However, the cohort's heterogeneous treatment history limited therapy-specific interpretation. In contrast, Fürstenau et al. evaluated venetoclax-based regimens and observed relative cytogenetic stability, though the small sample size (n=20) precludes firm conclusions [2]. Together, these studies highlight both the clinical relevance and the current gaps in understanding cytogenetic evolution under targeted therapies in CLL

## **METHODS**

Retrospective, multicenter cohort study; not all participating centers have yet completed data submission, and analyses presented here reflect an interim dataset.

834 patients who had undergone cytogenetic analysis was screened. In the final analysis we included only treatment naïve patients who received a targeted agent and of whom conventional karyotyping data were available both before treatment initiation and at the time of PD.

Cytogenetic evolution was defined as either an increase in the number of chromosomal abnormalities or the acquisition of a new clone at PD.

# RESULTS

Thirty-six patients had paired cytogenetic analysis at baseline and relapse. Median time to progression was 40 mo (range 7–88) and to next treatment 46 mo (10–114).

Treatment groups: 16 fixed-duration Ven-based (BTKi+Ven n=11, Ven+Obi n=5) vs 20 continuous BTKi (Ibr n=17, Aca n=3).

Baseline: median age 63 (44–78), 64% male, 81% unmutated IGHV, TP53 mut 14%, del(17p) 27%, CK 33% (low 10, high 2). No genetic differences between groups.

Cytogenetic evolution was more frequent with continuous BTKi (52.6%) vs fixed-duration (32%, p=0.047). Median abnormalities increased from 2 (range 0-7) to 4 (range 0-14) with continuous therapy, remaining stable with fixed-duration (p=0.028).

Risk factors for evolution: TP53 mutations: 31.2% vs 0% (p=0.013); del(17p): 47.1% vs 10.5% (p=0.025); CK: 52.9% vs 15.8% (p=0.033)

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Kittai AS, Miller C, Goldstein D, et al. The impact of increasing karyotypic complexity and evolution on survival in patients with CLL treated with ibrutinib. Blood. 2021;138(23):2372-2382. doi:10.1182/blood.2020010536
- 2. Fürstenau M, Thus YJ, Robrecht S, et al. High karyotypic complexity is an independent prognostic factor in patients with CLL treated with venetoclax combinations. Blood. 2023;142(5):446-459. doi:10.1182/blood.2023019634

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**







**Table 1.** Main variables evaluated in the fixed vs continuous therapy groups

| Variable at baseline   | Fixed         | Continuous    | P value |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| IGHV unmutated         | 15/16 (93.8%) | 14/19 (73.7%) | 0.187   |
| TP53 mutated           | 2/16 (12.5%)  | 3/19 (15.8%)  | 1.000   |
| del(13q) present       | 7/16 (43.8%)  | 10/20 (50.0%) | 0.749   |
| del(11q) present       | 4/16 (25.0%)  | 6/20 (30.0%)  | 1.000   |
| trisomy 12 present     | 4/16 (25.0%)  | 6/20 (30.0%)  | 1.000   |
| del(17p) present       | 3/16 (18.8%)  | 7/20 (35.0%)  | 0.456   |
| FISH negative          | 2/16 (12.5%)  | 3/20 (15.0%)  | 1.000   |
| Complex karyotype      | 5/16 (31.2%)  | 7/20 (35.0%)  | 1.000   |
| High complex karyotype | 1/16 (6%)     | 1/20 (5%)     | 1.000   |

**Table 2.** Main differences between evolved and non evolved patients

| Variable at baseline   | Evolved       | Not Evolved   | P value |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| IGHV unmutated         | 14/17 (82.4%) | 15/18 (83.3%) | 1.000   |
| TP53 mutated           | 5/16 (31.2%)  | 0/19 (0.0%)   | 0.013   |
| del(13q) present       | 11/17 (64.7%) | 6/19 (31.6%)  | 0.093   |
| del(11q) present       | 3/17 (17.6%)  | 7/19 (36.8%)  | 0.274   |
| trisomy 12 present     | 4/17 (23.5%)  | 6/19 (31.6%)  | 0.717   |
| del(17p) present       | 8/17 (47.1%)  | 2/19 (10.5%)  | 0.025   |
| FISH negative          | 1/17 (5.9%)   | 4/19 (21.1%)  | 0.342   |
| Complex karyotype      | 9/17 (52.9%)  | 3/19 (15.8%)  | 0.033   |
| High complex karyotype | 2/17 (12%)    | 0/19 (0%)     | 0.237   |

**Figure 1.** Boxplot showing the number of cytogenetic alterations before and after treatment in patients receiving fixed-duration or continuous targeted therapy.

