

Krzysztof Jamrozia^{k1}, Elzbieta Iskierka-Jazdzewska^{k2,3}, Tadeusz Robak^{k2,3}, Klaudia Zielonka^{k1}, Sebastian Giebel^{k4}, Kamil Wisniewski^{k5}, Joanna Drozd-Sokolowska^{k1}, Justyna Rybka^{k6}, Weronika Piszczek^{k7}, Monika Joks^{k8}, Anna Dabrowska-Iwanicka^{k9}, Andrzej Mital^{k10}, Pawel Steckiewicz^{k11}, Ewa Lech-Maranda^{k5}, Marcin Jasinski^{k1}, Marcin Rymko^{k7}, Dawid Marciniak^{k4}, Tomasz Wrobel^{k6}, Lidia Gil^{k8}, Joanna Romejko-Jarosinska^{k9}, Dorota Kruk-Kwapisz^{k12}, Agnieszka Chudy^{k13}, Marcin Machnicki^{k13}, Krzysztof Giannopoulos^{k14}, Tomasz Stoklosa^{k13}, Bartosz Pula^{k2,3}

¹Department of Hematology, Transplantation and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; ²Department of Hematology, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland; ³ Department of General Hematology and Internal Medicine, Copernicus Memorial Hospital, Lodz, Poland; ⁴Department of Bone Marrow Transplantation and Onco-Hematology, Maria Skłodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Gliwice Branch, Gliwice, Poland; ⁵Department of Hematology, Institute of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine, Warsaw, Poland; ⁶Clinical Department of Hematology, Cell Therapies and Internal Diseases, Wrocław Medical University, Wrocław, Poland; ⁷Hematology Department, Rydygier Provincial Polyclinical Hospital in Toruń, Toruń, Poland; ⁸Department of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Poznań Medical University, Poznań, Poland; ⁹Department of Hematology, Maria Skłodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland; ¹⁰Department of Hematology and Transplantation, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland; ¹¹Department of Hematology, Holy Cross Cancer Center, Kielce, Poland; ¹²Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; ¹³Department of Tumor Biology and Genetics, University Clinical Center, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; ¹⁴Department of Experimental Hematooncology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

- To evaluate the efficacy and safety of MRD-guided venetoclax plus rituximab (VR) in treatment-naïve CLL patients in a multicenter, prospective phase II trial.

- **MRD-driven venetoclax + rituximab therapy is highly effective in patients with previously untreated CLL.**

- **Extending treatment beyond 12 cycles in patients with suboptimal response was found to be safe and led to the achievement of complete response with undetectable MRD in a significant proportion of patients.**



- Fixed-duration regimens combining venetoclax with anti-CD20 antibodies (rituximab or obinutuzumab) are a mainstay of the current therapy for chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL).
- Achieving undetectable measurable residual disease (uMRD) with venetoclax-based regimens is associated with improved long-term outcomes. In the Phase III MURANO trial, patients achieving uMRD after VR had superior OS compared with MRD+ patients (95.3% vs. 72.9% at 3 years post-EOT).
- The optimal duration of VR therapy remains uncertain.
- It can be hypothesized that adjusting the duration of therapy to MRD status may provide an optimal balance between treatment activity and toxicity

- The study design is shown in Fig.1
- Following the standard venetoclax ramp-up phase, venetoclax 400 mg PO daily was administered combined with rituximab 375 mg/m² during the first infusion then 500 mg/m² IV every 4 weeks during Cycles 1-6, and then every 8 weeks thereafter.
- The duration of VR treatment was 12, 18 or 24 cycles depending on the depth of response. MRD measurements in the peripheral blood and the bone marrow were performed at Cycle 12, 18 and 24 using flow cytometry
- Patients who achieved a complete remission (CR) with bone marrow MRD<10⁻⁴ (uMRD) at Cycle 12 or Cycle 18 discontinued the therapy. All other patients discontinued therapy at Cycle 24.
- The primary objective of the study was to demonstrate that this strategy leads to a 35% rate of CR with uMRD

The diagram illustrates the study design over 24 cycles. A dark blue bar at the top represents the treatment duration, labeled 'venetoclax' and 'ramp-up' at the beginning. Above this bar, 'R' indicates response assessments at cycles 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24. Below the bar, three blue boxes indicate 'Response assessment: MRD by MFC, CT scan' at cycles 12, 18, and 24. A red box labeled 'CR uMRD in BM achieved' is positioned below the cycle 18 assessment, with an orange box labeled 'End of treatment' below it. A legend at the bottom defines abbreviations: BM (bone marrow), C1 (Cycle 1), CR (complete remission), CT (computed tomography), MFC (multiparameter flow cytometry), and R (Rituximab).

Abbreviations:
 BM, bone marrow;
 C1, Cycle 1;
 CR, complete remission;
 CT, computed tomography;
 MFC, multiparameter flow cytometry;
 R, Rituximab.

- Enrollment: 103 patients recruited (Feb 2022 – May 2023) in 10 Polish Adult Leukemia Group (PALG) centers. Baseline patient characteristics are shown in Table 1.
- Follow-up at this analysis: Median 27.3 months (range 1.2–37.1).
- Response was assessed in 101/103 pts because 2 pts were withdrawn early during ramp-up and Cycle 1 due to complications (prolonged COVID-19; AIHA with sepsis). These 2 pts and were followed only for survival.
- Primary objective achieved – 60/103 patients (58%) reached CR with BM uMRD at this analysis. Three patients remain on treatment.
- Response at Cycle 12 (n=101 evaluable):
 - Overall response rate (ORR): 98%
 - CR MRD⁻: 45 (44%)
 - CR MRD⁺: 4 (4%)
 - PR MRD⁻: 39 (39%)
 - PR MRD⁺: 11 (11%)
 - SD: 1 (1%), PD: 1 (1%)
- After C12 additional CR with uMRD were achieved by 9/51 (17.6%) pts continuing treatment until Cycle 18 and by 6/37 (16.2%) pts continuing therapy until Cycle 24 (Fig. 2)

- **Outcomes during follow-up:**
 - Disease progression: 3 patients.
 - Deaths: 4 patients, all in sustained CR MRD⁻ (causes: stroke, other primary malignancy, suicide, unknown).
 - Estimated 24-month PFS: 95.9% (95% CI 92.1–99.9%).
 - Estimated 24-month OS: 98.0% (95% CI 95.3–100.0%).

Main cohort (VERITA PALG-CLL5)	
Enrolled, n	103
Median age, years (range)	66 (38-85)
Female sex, %	45.6
ECOG (0 1 2 3), %	30.1 68.0 1.9
RAI staging system at diagnosis (0 1 2 3 4 unk), %	1.1 29.1 25.2 8.7 18.4 17.5
TLS risk assessment (low medium high), %	10.68 51.46 37.86
Presence of <i>TP53</i> aberration, %	13.7
IGHV mutational status (unmutated mutated), %	56.6 44.4

Figure 1 is a patient flow diagram showing the progression of patients through treatment cycles C12, C18, and C24. The y-axis represents the number of patients (N = 101). The diagram shows the distribution of patients across different treatment groups (CR MRD-, CR MRD+, PR MRD-, PR MRD+, PR MRDunk, PD, SD MRD+) and the impact of MRD-guided treatment cessation. A dashed green box highlights the period from C12 to C18, labeled "MRD-guided treatment cessation". The flow shows that patients in the CR MRD- group at C12 remain in that group through C18 and C24. Patients in the CR MRD+ group at C12 move to the CR MRD- group at C18 and C24. Patients in the PR MRD- group at C12 move to the PR MRD+ group at C18 and C24. Patients in the PR MRD+ group at C12 move to the PR MRD- group at C18 and C24. Patients in the PR MRDunk group at C12 move to the PR MRD+ group at C18 and C24. Patients in the PD group at C12 move to the PD group at C18 and C24. Patients in the SD MRD+ group at C12 move to the PD group at C18 and C24. The number of patients in each group is indicated by the height of the bars and the flow lines.

Treatment Cycle	CR MRD-	CR MRD+	PR MRD-	PR MRD+	PR MRDunk	PD	SD MRD+
C12	~75	~10	~10	~5	~1	~1	~1
C18	~55	~10	~10	~5	~1	~1	~1
C24	~45	~10	~10	~5	~1	~1	~1

A.

Survival probability (%)

Time

Number at risk

Time	0	120	240	360	480	600	720	840	960	1080	1200
All	103	101	100	100	98	95	81	52	16	5	0

B.

Survival probability (%)

Time

Number at risk

Time	0	120	240	360	480	600	720	840	960	1080	1200
All	103	101	100	100	98	95	81	52	16	5	0

A. IGHV status
 ■ unmutated; ■ mutated

Survival probability (%)

HR = 0.67, 95% CI 0.2-2.47; P = 0.531

Time

Number at risk

IGHV	0	120	240	360	480	600	720	840	960	1080	1200
0	54	54	54	54	53	51	42	28	7	4	0
1	42	42	42	42	41	40	35	20	7	1	0

B. IGHV status
 ■ unmutated; ■ mutated

Survival probability (%)

HR = 0.35, 95% CI 0.08-1.47; P = 0.147

Time

Number at risk

IGHV	0	120	240	360	480	600	720	840	960	1080	1200
0	54	54	54	54	53	52	42	28	7	4	0
1	42	42	42	42	41	40	35	20	7	1	0

A. TP53 wild-type; TP53 deletion/mutation

HR = 0.57, 95% CI 0.11-5.56; P = 0.564

B. TP53 wild-type; TP53 deletion/mutation

HR = 1.43, 95% CI 0.15-189.51; P = 0.801

Number at risk

TP53	0	120	240	360	480	600	720	840	960	1080	1200
TP53	85	85	85	85	83	81	71	45	13	4	0
TP53	14	14	14	14	14	13	9	6	3	1	0

Number at risk

TP53	0	120	240	360	480	600	720	840	960	1080	1200
TP53	85	85	85	85	83	81	71	45	13	4	0
TP53	14	14	14	14	14	14	9	6	3	1	0

Adverse Event grade 3-5 (n=103)	Number of patients, (%)
Neutropenia	65 (63.1%)
Infections	8 (7.7%)
Pneumonia	3 (2.9%)
Upper respiratory tract infections	2 (1.9%)
Anemia	6 (5.8%)
Thrombocytopenia	5 (4.9%)
Febrile neutropenia	3 (2.9%)
Diarrhea	3 (2.9%)
Laboratory TLS	2 (1.9%)
Hemolytic anemia	2 (1.9%)
Suicide	1 (1%)
Merkel cell carcinoma	1 (1%)
Aspartate aminotransferase activity increased	1 (1%)
Blood urea nitrogen concentration increased	1 (1%)
Serum creatinine concentration increased	1 (1%)
Hyperphosphatemia	1 (1%)
Hyperphosphatemia and hyperuricemia	1 (1%)
Hypertension	1 (1%)
Lower limb pain	1 (1%)
Fracture	1 (1%)
Vitreous hemorrhage of the right eye	1 (1%)
Hypocalcemia	1 (1%)
Vomiting	1 (1%)
Immune thrombocytopenia	1 (1%)

The authors thank the patients and investigators participating in the VERITA study.

[illegible]